### ORIGINAL RESEARCH



## Leaf structure and water relations of an allotetraploid Mediterranean fern and its diploid parents

# Miquel Nadal<sup>1,2</sup> | Luis G. Quintanilla<sup>3</sup> | Joan Pons-Perpinyà<sup>2</sup> | Valéria F. Lima<sup>4</sup> | Jorge Gago<sup>2</sup> | Ismael Aranda<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria de Aragón (CITA), Departamento de Sistemas Agrícolas, Forestales y Medio Ambiente, Zaragoza, Spain <sup>2</sup>Agro-Environmental and Water Economics Institute (INAGEA), University of the Balearic Islands, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

<sup>3</sup>School of Environmental Sciences and Technology (ESCET), University Rey Juan Carlos, Móstoles, Spain

<sup>4</sup>LabPlant, Departamento de Bioquímica e Biologia Molecular, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Forest Sciences, National Institute for Agricultural and Food Research and Technology, Spanish National Research Council (ICIFOR-INIA-CSIC), Madrid, Spain

#### Correspondence

Miquel Nadal, Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria de Aragón (CITA), Departamento de Sistemas Agrícolas, Forestales y Medio Ambiente, Avda. Montañana 930, Zaragoza 50059, Spain. Email: m.n.nadal92@gmail.com

Luis G. Quintanilla, School of Environmental Sciences and Technology (ESCET), University Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles, Spain. Email: luis.quintanilla@urjc.es

Funding information Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Grant/Award Numbers: FJC2020-043902-I, PID2019-107434GA-I00

Edited by J.M. Torres-Ruiz

#### Abstract

Allopolyploidy is a common speciation mechanism in plants; however, its physiological and ecological consequences in niche partitioning have been scarcely studied. In this sense, leaf traits are good proxies to study the adaptive capacity of allopolyploids and diploid parents to their respective environmental conditions. In the present work, leaf water relations (assessed through pressure-volume curves) and structural and anatomical traits of the allotetraploid fern Oeosporangium tinaei and its diploid parents, Oeosporangium hispanicum and Oeosporangium pteridioides, were studied under controlled conditions in response to a water stress (WS) cycle. O. hispanicum showed the lowest osmotic potential at turgor loss point ( $\pi_{tlp}$ ) and leaf capacitance, together with higher leaf mass per area (LMA), leaf thickness (LT), leaf density (LD), and leaf dry matter content (LDMC), whereas O. pteridioides presented the opposite set of traits (high  $\pi_{tlp}$  and capacitance, and low LMA, LT, LD, and LDMC). O. tinaei showed an intermediate position for most of the studied traits. The responsiveness (osmotic and elastic adjustments) to WS was low, although most of the traits explained the segregation of the three species across a range of drought tolerance according to the rank: O. hispanicum > O. tinaei > O. pteridioides. These trait differences may underlie the niche segregation among coexisting populations of the three species in the Mediterranean basin.

#### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Polyploidy, or whole-genome duplication, is a very common speciation mechanism in plants, especially in ferns (Wood et al., 2009). In the case of allopolyploidy, genome duplication occurs after interspecific hybridization, and this combination of two divergent parental genomes gives rise to novel traits that may allow colonization of previously unoccupied ecological niches (Soltis et al., 2014). Some allopolyploids have

"transgressive" traits (Coate et al., 2013), which are outside the variation range of parent diploids and can favor niche novelty (Wang et al., 2022), that is, a niche largely different from those of the diploids. Others show intermediate traits (Sessa & Givnish, 2014) and can occupy intermediate abiotic niches between those of the parents (Marchant et al., 2016). Both niche intermediacy and niche novelty reduce competition between cytotypes and thus enhance the establishment and persistence of polyploids among their diploid parents (Fowler

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. *Physiologia Plantarum* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Scandinavian Plant Physiology Society. & Levin, 2016; Rodríguez, 1996). In this context, the most studied transgressive trait is cell size, which is larger in polyploids than in related diploids for diverse cell types. This increase in cell size has long been interpreted as a "nucleotypic" effect of polyploidy, that is, a consequence of a larger bulk DNA amount irrespective of its genic content (Doyle & Coate, 2019). In seed plants, this cell enlargement occurs, for example, in guard and mesophyll cells (Ohri, 2021; Snodgrass et al., 2017; Warner & Edwards, 1993) and modifies leaf function (Coate et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2021). In ferns, the enlargement of guard cells and spores is well-documented in taxonomic studies as it allows distinguishing polyploids from their diploid ancestors (e.g., van den Heede et al., 2002). However, the effects of polyploidy on the cell size of leaf tissues have been largely ignored in fern research.

Oeosporangium Vis. (Pteridaceae: Cheilanthoideae), one of the most diverse and widespread fern genera in dry, rocky habitats throughout the Mediterranean basin, including several allopolyploid species. Oeosporangium tinaei (Tod.) Fraser-Jenk. is the allotetraploid derived from Oeosporangium hispanicum (Mett.) Fraser-Jenk. & Parivar and Oeosporangium pteridioides (Reichard) Fraser-Jenk. & Pariyar, both diploid species. The fronds of the three species show "resurrection" ability, that is, they can withstand almost complete water loss for long periods of time (longer than 3 months during dry summers) and then rehydrate and recover their function after the first autumn rains (Gaff & Oliver, 2013; Quintanilla et al., 2023), "Resurrection" or desiccanttolerant ferns are able to sustain and recover from much lower water potentials ( $\Psi$  < -100 MPa) than the most drought-tolerant ferns (which can sustain minimum  $\Psi$  of -8 MPa: Holmlund et al., 2016), although there is notable diversity in the degree of desiccation tolerance even within resurrection ferns (Gaff & Oliver, 2013). In the Oeosporangium species, the resurrection capacity is higher in O. hispanicum than in O. pteridioides and intermediate in O. tinaei (own unpublished data). In the Iberian Peninsula, the three species can grow sympatrically on the same rocky slope, but they show niche divergence that is explained by differences in their leaf (or frond) functional traits (Quintanilla et al., 2023). Specifically, O. hispanicum has fronds that present a more "conservative" set of traits in terms of carbon acquisition per investment (Díaz et al., 2016; Reich, 2014) when compared to O. pteridioides, including much higher leaf mass per area (LMA), lower carbon assimilation rate per unit mass, higher non-enzymatic antioxidant capacity, higher carbon content and lower contents of nitrogen, phosphorus, and other macronutrients. This combination of leaf traits allows it to grow in microhabitats with less availability of water and nutrients (rock crevices) and to have a greater capacity for sustained cycles of desiccation and recovery, and thus, a longer leaf lifespan. On the other hand, O. pteridioides presents fronds with a more "acquisitive" set of traits (Díaz et al., 2016; Reich, 2014), which allow it to occupy more humid and nutrient-rich microhabitats (shallow soils). Leaf traits of O. tinaei are mostly intermediate between those of the diploid parents and explain the intermediate abiotic niche of this allotetraploid in terms of water and nutrient availability (Quintanilla et al., 2023).

An additional aspect that can help in the characterization of the *Oeosporangium* species regarding their leaf traits and niche partitioning is the study of leaf water relations, that is, the relationship

between leaf water potential and water content (Tyree & Jarvis, 1982). Drought tolerance has been observed in ferns in response to water stress (WS) under Mediterranean conditions (Campany et al., 2021; Holmlund et al., 2016; Lo Gullo et al., 2010); nonetheless, there are differences across species displaying different degrees of tolerance in terms of water relations parameters such as osmotic and pressure potentials. Distinct water relations explain part of the variability in the capacity to endure dry periods (Holmlund et al., 2016) and even segregation throughout microenvironmental conditions differing in water availability (Hietz & Briones, 1998; Holmlund et al., 2016). Pressure-volume isotherms, or P-V curves as commonly referred to, are one of the best experimental approaches for studying leaf water relations and their relationship with drought tolerance in leaves (Bartlett et al., 2012; Lenz et al., 2006; Turner, 1986). Among the parameters derived from P-V curves, leaf turgor loss point ( $\pi_{tlp}$ ) and leaf capacitance (C) are important physiological traits related to plants' withering and drought tolerance (Bartlett et al., 2012; Lenz et al., 2006; Xiong & Nadal, 2020; Zhu et al., 2018).  $\pi_{tlp}$  is especially relevant for its link with other processes such as regulation of stomata closure thresholds (Bartlett et al., 2016; Brodribb et al., 2003; Meinzer et al., 2016), maintenance of growth (Mitchell et al., 2008), or hydraulic safety margins (Fu & Meinzer, 2019; Zhu et al., 2018). High leaf capacitance contributes to maintain optimal water status under increasing transpiration (Xiong & Nadal, 2020) and is associated with leaf survival after stomatal closure in ferns (McAdam & Brodribb, 2013). Recently, P-V parameters such as  $\pi_{tlp}$  and capacitance have been related to the anatomical features of leaves, particularly saturated water content and cell size (Nadal et al., 2023); hence, it could be expected a different set of water relations in the allotetraploid O. tingei compared to its parental species. Few studies have characterized water relations in ferns (e.g., Campany et al., 2021; Holmlund et al., 2016; Lo Gullo et al., 2010) and, to the best of our knowledge, no study has focused on water relations and their potential role in determining the ecophysiology of an allopolyploid system such as Oeosporangium spp.

In this study, we compared the anatomical and water relations traits in the fronds of these three *Oeosporangium* species in an experiment of followed by rehydration. We hypothesize that: (1) *O. hispanicum* has morphological and water relations traits more related to drought tolerance than those of *O. pteridioides*, (2) traits of *O. tinaei* are mostly intermediate between those of the diploid parents and contribute to explaining the intermediate habitat requirements and resurrection capacity of the allopolyploid, and (3) cell sizes of leaf tissues are larger in *O. tinaei* than in diploid parents due to genome doubling in the allopolyploid.

#### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Plant material and experiment conditions

The studied *Oeosporangium* species will hereafter be referred to by the genome constitution of the sporophyte: HH = O. *hispanicum*,

PP = O. pteidioides, and HHPP = O. tinaei, where H = hispanicumgenome and P = pteridioides genome. Mature individuals (i.e., with sporangia) of HH, HHPP, and PP were obtained in the Picadas reservoir (central Spain, 40°20' N, 4°15' W, altitude 530 m) in early April 2021. For each species, 30 individuals were collected and placed in Ziploc bags, with the root ball wrapped in a moistened paper towel. Individuals were planted in 0.5-L pots with a 1:3 mixture of perlite:natural substrate. This substrate was also collected at the Picadas reservoir, on a schist slope where the studied species were growing. Plants were then placed in a growth chamber for acclimation for 2 months before the experiment (PAR intensity 470  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, 12 h/12 h photoperiod, 25°C/20°C, and 40%-60% RH). After acclimation, different plants were subjected to a WS cycle: well-watered (WW) with daily irrigation, WS subjected to watering withholding for approximately 10 days, and recovery (REC) after rewatering for 2 days plants previously subjected to WS. A total of 8-10 individuals were used per species and treatment. Volumetric soil water content (SWC) at full water holding capacity in WW plants was 15%-20% (Probe Type GS1; Decagon Devices, Inc.). The soil moisture at the peak of the WS was, on average, 5% across species.

#### 2.2 | Frond bulk structure

LMA was measured in 8–10 fronds from different individuals under WW conditions. Leaf area was measured from photographs of the frond lamina just before dehydration using ImageJ software (Wayne Rasband/ NIH; Schneider et al., 2012). In addition, for comparison with LDMC obtained from P–V curves (see below), "rehydrated" leaf dry matter content (LDMC<sub>rh</sub>) was measured on an independent set of fronds from WW plants as LDMC = DW/SW, where the saturated weight (SW) was measured after overnight rehydration in distilled water (Vile et al., 2005) instead of being inferred from the P–V relationship.

#### 2.3 | Frond anatomy

Light microscopy was used for anatomy analysis in 5–6 fronds from different individuals under WW conditions. Small pieces (2  $\times$  2 mm) were cut from fresh fronds, avoiding major veins and sporangia. Cuttings were immediately fixed under vacuum with 4% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.2) and post-fixed in 2% buffered osmium tetroxide. Samples were embedded in London White resin (Electron Microscopy Science) after sequential dehydration with ethanol. Transversal semi-thin (1  $\mu$ m) sections were cut with an ultramicrotome (Leica UC7) and stained with epoxy tissue stain (Electron Microscopy Science). Samples were observed at  $\times$ 200 magnifications under light microscopy (Olympus) and photographed with a Moticam 3 (Motic Electric Group Co.). Images were analyzed with the ImageJ software. The following parameters were obtained, as means of 10 measurements per sample: leaf thickness (LT), upper and lower epidermis thickness,

palisade and spongy mesophyll thickness, cross-section area of upper and lower epidermis cells (UEA<sub>cell</sub> and LEA<sub>cell</sub>) and palisade and spongy mesophyll cells (PMA<sub>cell</sub> and SMA<sub>cell</sub>), and intercellular air space fraction in palisade and spongy mesophyll (PM<sub>ias</sub> and SM<sub>ias</sub>). In addition, the following parameters were calculated: ratio of mesophyll to epidermis thickness (M:E), weighted average (accounting for different tissues) cross-section cell area (A<sub>cell</sub>), and the fraction of intercellular air spaces (f<sub>iac</sub>).

#### 2.4 | P-V curves

One frond from 8-10 plants subjected to each treatment was harvested just before the beginning of the light period. The cut section of the distal part of the fronds was maintained in rehydration in distilled water for 1 h before P-V curves were constructed. The drop in water potential ( $\Psi$ ) and fresh weight (FW) were recorded from close to full hydration in a progressive bench dehydration process of the fronds until completing 10-12 pairs of weight and pressure records. Fronds were weighed before and after completion of each  $\Psi$  recording at each round of measurement, and average weight was considered for posterior P-V curve construction (Aranda & Pardos, 1996; Robichaux, 1984). Water potential was measured using a pressure chamber (Model 600D; PMS Instrument Company). The relationship between FW and  $\Psi$  from the initial 4–6 sampling points was used to infer SW. The dry weight (DW) was estimated after drying samples for 2 days at 65°C. Relative water content (RWC) was calculated from FW, SW, and DW as RWC = (FW - DW)/(SW - DW). The following functional traits were calculated from P-V curves: osmotic potential at full ( $\pi_o$ ) and zero turgor or turgor loss point ( $\pi_{tlp}$ ), relative water content at zero turgor (RWC<sub>tlp</sub>), bulk modulus of elasticity (ɛ), the apoplastic water fraction (or relative water content in the apoplast, RWC<sub>a</sub>), and leaf dry matter content (calculated using the inferred SW, LDMC<sub>py</sub>). The  $\varepsilon$  was estimated considering the full range of RWC over the points before turgor was lost. In addition, leaf capacitance before  $(C^*_{o,mass})$  and after  $(C^*_{tlp,mass})$  zero turgor were calculated as the slope of the quasilinear relationship between water potential and water content normalized by the frond DW.

#### 2.5 | Statistical analysis

Differences between species under WW conditions were assessed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test for post hoc comparisons; the "treatment" effect (WW, WS, and REC) for P-V data was assessed using two-way ANOVA with "species" and "treatment" as main factors. Values are presented as mean ± standard error unless indicated otherwise. Correlations among variables were tested using Pearson comparison. All analyses were conducted with R (R Core Team, 2022); the functions used were: *Im* for ANOVAS ("stats" package), function *HSD*. *test* for post hoc ("agricolae"; de Mendiburu, 2021), and *rcorr* for correlations ("Hmisc"; Harrell, 2022).

#### 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Structural and anatomical characteristics of fronds

The three species present similar sizes but distinct frond characteristics, especially in terms of frond thickness and air spaces (Figure 1). Frond bulk structure differed among the species in WW plants (Table 1): LMA was highest in HH (mean of  $143 \pm 12$  g m<sup>-2</sup>) and lowest in PP (58  $\pm$  7 g m<sup>-2</sup>), whereas HHPP presented intermediate values  $(102 \pm 9 \text{ g m}^{-2})$ . These differences in LMA can be explained by variations in both thickness and density. HH possessed the thickest fronds (mean LT of 231 ± 17  $\mu$ m) and PP the thinnest (159 ± 12  $\mu$ m), while HHPP showed intermediate LT (176  $\pm$  21  $\mu$ m). Leaf density (LD) was calculated from the aforementioned LMA and LT, and again, LD indicates an intermediate position for HHPP (0.58 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) compared to HH (0.62 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) and PP (0.36 g cm<sup>-3</sup>). LDMC<sub>rh</sub> (assessed independently of P-V curves) was higher in HH (0.39  $\pm$  0.01 g g<sup>-1</sup>) than in the other two species, although LDMC<sub>rh</sub> in HHPP was closer to that of PP  $(0.32 \pm 0.01 \text{ and } 0.29 \pm 0.01 \text{ g s}^{-1}$ , respectively) when compared to the LD values.

The possible anatomical basis of the differences in the two components of LMA (i.e., thickness and density) were explored through differences in tissue thickness, cell size, and the air fraction among the species in WW plants (Table 1). The higher LT in *HH* can be mainly

attributed to greater palisade mesophyll thickness (113  $\pm$  11  $\mu$ m) compared to the other two species (66  $\pm\,8$  and 53  $\pm\,3\,\mu\text{m}$  for HHPP and PP, respectively). The upper epidermis is thinner in HH (19 ± 1  $\mu$ m), especially compared to PP (30 ± 3  $\mu$ m), while HHPP presents intermediate values (26  $\pm$  3  $\mu$ m). These features result in a differentiated ratio of mesophyll to epidermis (M:E) across species, where HH presents the highest M:E (5.6  $\pm$  0.7) compared to HHPP  $(2.9 \pm 0.2)$  and PP  $(2.3 \pm 0.2)$ . In turn, HH presented a lower fraction of intercellular air spaces in the mesophyll ( $f_{ias}$  of 15 ± 1%) compared to the other two species, although in this case, PP showed the intermediate values (22  $\pm$  3%) and HHPP the highest  $f_{ias}$  (29  $\pm$  3%). These differences in  $f_{ias}$  emerge from the air fraction of the spongy mesophyll (highest in HHPP), not the palisade mesophyll (Table 1). On the other hand, the cross-section cell area (a proxy of cell size) of all frond tissues did not differ significantly among species (Table 1). The only exception was the upper epidermis (p = 0.090), with marginally significant differences mainly due to smaller cells in HH.

#### 3.2 | Water relations from P–V curves

The imposition of drought for 2–3 weeks resulted in an extensive decrease of SWC, from SWC of 0.200  $\pm$  0.024 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> in WW plants to 0.053  $\pm$  0.007 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> in WS plants (pooled data across species, no significant "species" effect in any case), with a significant recovery of



**FIGURE 1** Representative plants and frond anatomy images of the three *Oesporangium* species studied. Scale bars for frond cross-sections represent 100 μm.

	Species	One-way		
Parameters	нн	ННРР	PP	F
Bulk structure				
LMA (g m $^{-2}$ )	143.0 ± 12.2 <b>a</b>	102.1 ± 8.5 <b>b</b>	57.8 ± 6.9 <b>c</b>	20.24
$LDMC_{rh}$ (g g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.392 ± 0.012 <b>a</b>	$0.318 \pm 0.009 \textbf{b}$	$0.287 \pm 0.012 \mathbf{b}$	24.44
LT (μm)	231 ± 17 <b>a</b>	176 ± 21 <b>ab</b>	159 ± 12 <b>b</b>	4.48
LD (g cm $^{-3}$ )	0.620	0.581	0.364	
Tissue thickness				
UET (µm)	19 ± 1 <b>b</b>	26 ± 3 <b>ab</b>	30 ± 3 <b>a</b>	4.55
PMT (μm)	113 ± 11 <b>a</b>	66 ± 8 <b>b</b>	53 ± 3 <b>b</b>	17.07
SMT (µm)	86 ± 6 <b>a</b>	71 ± 12 <b>a</b>	63 ± 9 <b>a</b>	1.49
LET (µm)	17 ± 1a	20 ± 2 <b>a</b>	24 ± 2 <b>a</b>	2.23
M:E	5.56 ± 0.67 <b>a</b>	2.93 ± 0.19 <b>b</b>	2.25 ± 0.17 <b>b</b>	20.59
Cell size				
UEA <sub>cell</sub> (μm²)	735 ± 69 <b>a</b>	1187 ± 218 <b>a</b>	1245 ± 129 <b>a</b>	2.87
PMA <sub>cell</sub> (μm²)	978 ± 107 <b>a</b>	1290 ± 207 <b>a</b>	1004 ± 138 <b>a</b>	1.16
SMA <sub>cell</sub> (µm²)	899 ± 126 <b>a</b>	815 ± 156 <b>a</b>	865 ± 107 <b>a</b>	0.10
LEA <sub>cell</sub> (µm²)	534 ± 62 <b>a</b>	633 ± 127 <b>a</b>	732 ± 71 <b>a</b>	1.06
A <sub>cell</sub> (μm²)	919 ± 97 <b>a</b>	1064 ± 159 <b>a</b>	1019 ± 129 <b>a</b>	0.59
Air fraction				
PM <sub>ias</sub> (%)	9.2 ± 1.3	11.2 ± 2.8	9.3 ± 1.5	0.31
SM <sub>ias</sub> (%)	22.5 ± 1.4 <b>b</b>	46.0 ± 4.4 <b>a</b>	33.1 ± 3.3 <b>b</b>	11.29
f <sub>ias</sub> (%)	15.0 ± 1.3 <b>b</b>	28.7 ± 3.1 <b>a</b>	22.1 ± 2.8ab	6.40

Note: Values indicate mean  $\pm$  sE of leaf mass per area (LMA), leaf thickness (LT), "rehydrated" leaf dry matter content (LDMC<sub>rh</sub>), leaf density (LD; calculated using species means for LMA and LT), upper and lower epidermis thickness (UET and LET, respectively), palisade and spongy mesophyll thickness (PMT and SMT, respectively), ratio of mesophyll:epidermis thickness (M:E), cross-section area of upper and lower epidermis cells (UEA<sub>cell</sub>, respectively) and palisade and spongy mesophyll cells (PMA<sub>cell</sub> and SMA<sub>cell</sub>, respectively), average cross-section area weighted across tissues (A<sub>cell</sub>), intercellular air space fraction in palisade and spongy mesophyll (PM<sub>ias</sub> and SM<sub>ias</sub>), and average air fraction weighted across both tissues (f<sub>ias</sub>). Species effect tested using one-way ANOVA; letters denote significant differences according to Tukey's HSD test; n = 8-10 for LMA and LDMC<sub>rh</sub>, n = 5-6 for the rest.

**TABLE 1**Comparison of frondstructure and anatomy of the three*Oesporangium* species in well-watered(WW) plants.

SWC in REC plants after 48 h of rewatering (0.155 ± 0.010 m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>). SWC of WS plants was close to the exponential decrease in predawn water potential in the relationship between predawn  $\Psi$  versus SWC (Figure S1).

Most differences in all *P*-*V* parameters were explained by species, with only relatively minor effects of watering treatments (Figures 2 and 3). There were clear differences in the osmotic potential at full turgor in WW plants (Figure 2A):  $\pi_{tlp}$  was lowest in *HH* (-1.74 ± 0.07 MPa), intermediate in *HHPP* (-1.65 ± 0.07 MPa) and highest in *PP* (-1.44 ± 0.10 MPa).  $\pi_{tlp}$  was affected by treatments (*P* = 0.013 for "treatment" effect), showing a general decrease in WS plants compared to WW (average decrease by 0.15 MPa across all species) and an increase in REC plants (Figure 2A), although no clear recovery occurred after drought in *PP*. No such clear differences in RWC<sub>tlp</sub> were found in WW plants, although *PP* tended to present lower values (Figure 2B); on the other hand, the "treatment" effect was less significant (*p* = 0.074), with a tendency for lower RWC<sub>tlp</sub> in WS plants and an increase in REC plants (except for *PP*). No clear drought effect

was observed for  $\pi_o$  (p = 0.401), although there were significant differences among species (p < 0.001); in particular, *PP* presented higher values across different treatments (Figure 2C). There was a correlation between both  $\pi_{tlp}$  and  $\pi_o$  across species and treatments (Table 2). The modulus of elasticity was significantly different among species (p < 0.001), although no clear differences were present in WW plants; on the other hand, the significant interaction between species and treatment (p = 0.041) indicated a different response of  $\varepsilon$  to drought and recovery depending on species. Indeed, WS and REC plants of *PP* showed a decrease in  $\varepsilon$ , while the other two species did not show such response, and REC plants presented similar values to those of WW (Figure 2C).

LDMC was the *P*-*V* parameter that more clearly differentiated the species (p < 0.001), with no significant effect of drought (p = 0.227) or the interaction of both factors (p = 0.971) (Figure 3A). In WW plants, *HH* showed the highest values ( $0.412 \pm 0.009 \text{ g g}^{-1}$ ) and *PP* the lowest ( $0.271 \pm 0.013 \text{ g g}^{-1}$ ), with *HHPP* showing an intermediate position ( $0.361 \pm 0.010 \text{ g g}^{-1}$ ). RWC<sub>a</sub> showed a similar

p Value

< 0.001

0.0010.031

0.030 <0.001 0.260 0.144 <0.001

> 0.090 0.342

> 0.906 0.374 0.756

0.741 0.001

0.011

Physiologia Plantar



FIGURE 2 Pressure-volume parameters in the three Oesporangium species subjected to soil drought and recovery. WW, well-watered plants: WS. water-stressed plants; REC, plants recovered after rewatering. Values indicate mean ± sE of the following frond parameters: (A) osmotic potential at the turgor loss point ( $\pi_{tlp}$ ), (B) relative water content at the turgor loss point (RWC<sub>tlp</sub>), (C) osmotic potential at full turgor ( $\pi_o$ ), and (D) bulk modulus of elasticity ( $\varepsilon$ ). Species (S) and water treatment (T) effects-and their interactionwere evaluated by two-way ANOVA; significance indicated as \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*p < 0.01; \**p* < 0.05; °*p* <0.1; <sup>ns</sup>*p* >0.1. Uppercase letters denote differences across species among WW plants and lowercase letters indicate differences in treatments within each species (Tukey's HSD test); n = 6-8.

trend in WW plants (Figure 2B), with HH and HHPP showing the highest values (26  $\pm$  3% and 27  $\pm$  2%, respectively) and PP the lowest (10  $\pm$  2%). Only a minor "treatment" effect was detected (p = 0.081), where PP tended to show higher values in WS and REC plants compared to WW (Figure 2B). Overall, leaf capacitance (both before and after the turgor loss) was inversely related to LDMC<sub>pv</sub> in WW plants (Table 2), where HH and HHPP followed a similar pattern, with only PP showing a distinct response after turgor loss (Figure 3C,D). PP presented the highest capacitance values and HH the lowest, with HHPP occupying an intermediate position. C\*<sub>o,mass</sub> showed a differential response to drought depending on species (p = 0.016 for the interaction term), whereas PP showed an increase in WS, especially in REC plants (Figure 3C). This pattern of C\*<sub>o,mass</sub> was also associated (inversely) to  $\varepsilon$  (Table 2). On the other hand, there were no clear differences in the response of  $C^*_{tlp,mass}$  to stress across species, with only a minor decrease in WS and REC plants (p = 0.095 for the "treatment" effect).

#### 4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study, we show how closely related species of the fern genus *Oesporangium* differ in their frond structure, water relations, and capacity to respond to WS and later recovery. Most notably, the results support both our first hypothesis that the two parent diploids show distinct frond traits linked to water availability, as discussed below, and our second hypothesis that the allopolyploid has mainly an intermediate position in most of the studied traits. These findings are consistent with previous studies on the effects of allopolyploidy on functional traits (e.g., photosynthetic capacity) in other fern species (Sessa & Givnish, 2014) and in the same *Oeosporangium* species under field conditions (Quintanilla et al., 2023). Our study species are also of interest given their desiccation tolerance (Quintanilla et al., 2023), which is associated with a particular set of frond traits in previously studied resurrection ferns, namely high LMA and low  $\varepsilon$  (Nadal, Brodribb, et al., 2021; Nadal, Perera-Castro, et al., 2021).

LMA in the three species was in the range of previous reports for ferns (Dani et al., 2021; Poorter et al., 2009). The LMA of *HH* (143 g m<sup>-2</sup>) and *HHPP* (102 g m<sup>-2</sup>) were in the range of those reported for resurrection ferns (86-142 g m<sup>-2</sup>) in Nadal, Perera-Castro, et al. (2021), whereas *PP* showed lower LMA (58 g m<sup>-2</sup>), similar to that associated with desiccation-sensitive ferns. However, it must be noted that LMAs obtained from plants of the same populations (Picadas reservoir) under natural conditions were much higher (*HH*: 186 g m<sup>-2</sup>, *HHPP*: 140 g m<sup>-2</sup>, *PP*: 97 g m<sup>-2</sup>; Quintanilla et al., 2023). These differences indicate that, during acclimation, our cultivated plants produced fronds with reduced LMA, possibly associated with lower light intensity under growth chamber conditions, since the light regime is a strong driver of LMA variation (Aranda et al., 2004; Poorter et al., 2009). On the other hand, the three FIGURE 3 Additional pressure-volume parameters in the three Oesporangium species subjected to soil drought and recovery. WW, well-watered plants; WS, water-stressed plants; REC, plants recovered after rewatering. Values indicate mean ± sE of the following frond parameters: (A) leaf dry matter content from P-V (LDMC<sub>pv</sub>), (B) relative water content at the apoplast fraction (RWC<sub>a</sub>), (C) leaf specific capacitance at full turgor on a mass basis ( $C^*_{o,mass}$ ), and (D) leaf specific capacitance at turgor loss on a mass basis (C\*tlp.mass). Species (S) and water treatment (T) effects-and their interaction-were evaluated by two-way ANOVA; significance indicated as \*\*\**p* < 0.001; \*\**p* < 0.01; \**p* < 0.05; °*p* <0.1; <sup>ns</sup>p >0.1. Uppercase letters denote differences across species among WW plants and lowercase letters indicate differences in treatments within each species (Tukey's HSD test); n = 6-8.





	$\pi_{tlp}$	$RWC_{tlp}$	πο	ε	$LDMC_{pv}$	RWC <sub>a</sub>	C <sup>*</sup> o,mass	$C^*_{tlp,mass}$
$\pi_{tlp}$	-	0.406	<0.001	0.300	<0.001	0.357	0.011	< 0.001
$RWC_{tlp}$	0.106	-	0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.026	<0.001	0.115
πο	0.710	-0.407	-	<0.001	<0.001	0.897	<0.001	0.007
ε	-0.132	0.754	-0.632	-	<0.001	0.139	<0.001	0.002
$LDMC_{pv}$	- <b>0.419</b>	0.356	-0.540	0.541	-	0.004	<0.001	<0.001
RWC <sub>a</sub>	-0.117	0.278	0.016	0.187	0.353	-	0.007	<0.001
$C^*_{o,mass}$	0.317	-0.544	0.617	-0.743	-0.818	-0.333	-	<0.001
$C^*_{tlp,mass}$	0.407	-0.199	0.334	-0.381	-0.726	-0.524	0.619	-

*Note*: Upper side shows *p* values and lower side shows *r* coefficients (bold when *p* < 0.001). The studied *P*-*V* parameters are: osmotic potential at the turgor loss point ( $\pi_{tip}$ ), relative water content at the turgor loss point (RWC<sub>tip</sub>), osmotic potential at full turgor ( $\pi_o$ ), bulk modulus of elasticity ( $\varepsilon$ ), leaf dry matter content from *P*-*V* (LDMC<sub>pv</sub>), relative water content at the apoplast fraction (RWC<sub>a</sub>), leaf specific capacitance at full turgor on a mass basis ( $C^*_{o,mass}$ ), and leaf specific capacitance at turgor loss on a mass basis ( $C^*_{tip,mass}$ ).

species present relatively low  $\varepsilon$  compared to desiccation-sensitive ferns (Holmlund et al., 2016; Nadal et al., 2018) and to other fern species from Mediterranean habitats (Lo Gullo et al., 2010). Their  $\varepsilon$ are in line with the value reported for the desiccation-tolerant fronds of *Anemia caffrorum* (Nadal, Brodribb, et al., 2021), possibly due to the high elasticity required for coping with the extensive mechanical stress associated with desiccation (Moore et al., 2008; Oliver et al., 2020). The differences in LMA can be attributed to LT and density, which constitute the two components that define LMA (Poorter et al., 2009) and can vary independently in ferns (Vasheka et al., 2016). In this sense, *HH* fronds were both the thickest, mainly due to very thick palisade mesophyll, and the densest (Table 1). This high density was reflected by both LD and the independent measurement of LDMC (both from P-V and from "rehydrated" samples), as dry mass accumulation per SW constitutes a good proxy for LD

siologia Plant

(Vile et al., 2005). Denser leaves can be the product of either smaller, denser cells or a reduction of the air fraction (John et al., 2017); here, the increased density of HH compared to HHPP, and especially PP, appears to be mostly driven by a reduced air fraction (Table 1), since no clear differences in cell size were detected (although HHPP and PP presented slightly bigger epidermis cells, which could contribute to their lower LD and LDMC given the lower mesophyll to epidermis ratio in these species). The differences in LMA in the three species could explain the presence of HH under the most stressful conditions in crevices of rocky walls, whereas PP is more often present in shallow soils (Quintanilla et al., 2023). Air fraction (SM<sub>ias</sub>) was the only transgressive trait we found in HHPP, which had higher values than both diploid parents (Table 1). By contrast, cell sizes of all the frond tissues studied (upper and lower epidermis, palisade and spongy mesophylls) were similar in the three species. Thus, our hypothesis that HHPP shows cell enlargement due to genome doubling is not supported by these results. Consistent with this finding, the cell size of HHPP gametophytes is within the range of variation of *HH* and *PP*, while spores are larger in the allopolyploid (Pangua et al., 2019).

The analysis of P-V traits under different drought conditions indicates a limited degree of adjustment of water relations in response to WS and points mainly to constitutive differences among species as the main source of their capacity to withstand limited water conditions (Figures 2 and 3). The species presented clear differences in both  $\pi_{tlp}$  and leaf capacitance, two aspects of water relations that reflect the degree of drought tolerance in plants. The turgor loss point is a key indicator of drought tolerance, with more negative values associated with more arid environments (Bartlett et al., 2012; Lenz et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2018). On the other hand, higher capacitance is associated with the capacity of drought avoidance in ferns (McAdam & Brodribb, 2013) and provides a buffer against sudden decreases in water potential at the leaf level (Blackman & Brodribb, 2011; Xiong & Nadal, 2020). The high capacitance in PP could indicate a higher degree of isohydry compared to the other two species, although this is somewhat reduced under WS given its elastic adjustment, which leads to a lower capacitance in WS plants (Figure 3). Despite being associated with drought avoidance, high capacitance has also been reported in the desiccation-tolerant fronds of A. caffrorum, possibly due to its association with increased elasticity (Nadal, Brodribb, et al., 2021). Constitutively,  $\pi_{tlp}$  and capacitance are related to water content, here reflected in LDMC<sub>pv</sub> and LDMC<sub>rh</sub> (Nadal et al., 2023). Indeed,  $LDMC_{pv}$  is negatively correlated with both  $C^*_{o,mass}$  and  $C^*_{tlp,mass}$  and, to a lesser extent, with  $\pi_{tlp}$  (Table 2). The  $\pi_{tlp}$  is mainly driven by the osmotic potential at full turgor (Bartlett et al., 2012; Lenz et al., 2006); in the studied species, we find a strong association between  $\pi_{tlp}$  and  $\pi_{o}$  (Table 2). However, the observed changes in  $\pi_{tlp}$  during drought are most likely due to elastic rather than osmotic adjustments, contrary to the common pattern observed in most plant species (Bartlett et al., 2012). Nonetheless, it is worth noting that the degree of turgor loss point change is rather small (here, the mean difference of 0.15 MPa across all species between WW and WS plants) when compared to larger datasets (Bartlett et al., 2012). Hence, this further points towards constitutive water relations traits,

rather than the capacity of osmotic or elastic adjustments in response to WS, as being the main determinants of the overall drought tolerance of the studied species.

In conclusion, we found a combination of constitutive traits regarding frond structure and water relations that could allow for niche partitioning of the three sympatric Oeosporangium species. On the one hand, HH, which lives in rock crevices, presents a set of traits more associated with low water and nutrient availability and lower carbon returns (Aerts & Chapin, 1999; Díaz et al., 2016; Nadal et al., 2023; Reich, 2014), such as thicker and denser fronds, with higher carbon content, lower nitrogen content and lower photosynthetic rate per mass (Quintanilla et al., 2023). On the other hand. PP shows a set of traits at the opposite extreme of nutrient and water availability (Díaz et al., 2016; Nadal et al., 2023; Reich, 2014), including lower LMA and higher  $\pi_{tlp}$  and leaf capacitance, and a certain capacity for elastic adjustment under drought. In turn, these traits could restrain PP to more humid microhabitats on deeper soils with greater water retention and nutrient availability, as it is found in its natural environment. Compared to both diploid parents, HHPP shows mostly intermediate traits, which may explain its intermediate abiotic niche. These intermediate phenotypes can be the consequence of both allopolyploidy per se (i.e., hybridization and chromosome doubling) and other evolutionary processes (e.g., natural selection, genetic drift) subsequent to polyploid formation (Bretagnolle & Lumaret, 1995). In any case, our results support the idea that Oeosporangium allopolyploids are intermediate "fill-in" taxa that contribute to a more intensive occupation of the ecological space available (Ehrendorfer, 1980; Marchant et al., 2016).

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization and research design by Miquel Nadal, Luis G. Quintanilla, Ismael Aranda, and Jorge Gago. Measurements were performed by all authors. Data analysis by Miquel Nadal and Ismael Aranda. First manuscript draft by Ismael Aranda and Miquel Nadal. Manuscript writing, review, and editing by Miquel Nadal, Luis G. Quintanilla, Ismael Aranda, and Jorge Gago. Supervision and project administration by Jorge Gago. Funding acquisition by Luis G. Quintanilla, Ismael Aranda, and Jorge Gago.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, national call "Generación de Conocimiento" 2019–2020, project number PID2019-107434GA-I00. Miquel Nadal was supported by post-doctoral fellowship Juan de la Cierva-Formación (FJC2020-043902-I), co-financed by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (Spain) and the European Union ("NextGenerationEU/PRTR"). The authors wish to publicly thank Dirección General de Biodiversidad y Recursos Naturales de Madrid for the permits for plant collection.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as all new created data is already contained within this article.

#### ORCID

Miquel Nadal D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1472-1792

#### REFERENCES

- Aerts, R. & Chapin, F.S., III. (1999) The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation of processes and patterns. In: Fitter, A.H. & Raffaelli, D.G. (Eds.) Advances in ecological research, Vol. 30. London: Academic Press, pp. 1–67.
- Aranda, I., Pardo, F., Gil, L. & Pardos, J.A. (2004) Anatomical basis of the change in leaf mass per area and nitrogen investment with relative irradiance within the canopy of eight temperate tree species. *Acta Oecologica*, 25(3), 187–195.
- Aranda, I. & Pardos, L.G.J. (1996) Seasonal water relations of three broadleaved species (*Fagus sylvatica L., Quercus petraea* (Mattuschka) liebl. and *Quercus pyrenaica* willd.) in a mixed stand in the centre of the Iberian Peninsula. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 84(1-3), 219–229.
- Bartlett, M.K., Klein, T., Jansen, S., Choat, B. & Sack, L. (2016) The correlations and sequence of plant stomatal, hydraulic, and wilting responses to drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(46), 13098–13103.
- Bartlett, M.K., Scoffoni, C. & Sack, L. (2012) The determinants of leaf turgor loss point and prediction of drought tolerance of species and biomes: a global meta-analysis. *Ecology Letters*, 15, 393–405.
- Blackman, C.J. & Brodribb, T.J. (2011) Two measures of leaf capacitance: insights into the water transport pathway and hydraulic conductance in leaves. *Functional Plant Biology*, 38, 118–126.
- Bretagnolle, F. & Lumaret, R. (1995) Bilateral polyploidization in *Dactylis glomerata* L. subsp. *lusitanica*: occurrence, morphological and genetic characteristics of first polyploids. *Euphytica*, 84, 197–207.
- Brodribb, T.J., Holbrook, N.M., Edwards, E.J. & Gutierrez, M.V. (2003) Relations between stomatal closure, leaf turgor and xylem vulnerability in eight tropical dry forest trees. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 26, 443–450.
- Campany, C.E., Pittermann, J., Baer, A., Holmlund, H., Schuettpelz, E., Mehltreter, K. et al. (2021) Leaf water relations in epiphytic ferns are driven by drought avoidance rather than tolerance mechanisms. *Plant*, *Cell & Environment*, 44, 1741–1755.
- Coate, J.E., Luciano, A.K., Seralathan, V., Minchew, K.J., Owens, T.G. & Doyle, J.J. (2012) Anatomical, biochemical, and photosynthetic responses to recent allopolyploidy in *Glycine dolichocarpa* (Fabaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, 99, 55–67.
- Coate, J.E., Powell, A.F., Owens, T.G. & Doyle, J.J. (2013) Transgressive physiological and transcriptomic responses to light stress in allopolyploid *Glycine dolichocarpa* (Leguminosae). *Heredity*, 110, 160–170.
- Dani, K.G.S., Mathew, J., Nila-Mohan, T.M., Antony, R., Suresh, S. & Kodandaramaiah, U. (2021) Pores versus spores: competition between photosynthesis and reproduction is constrained by leaf mass per unit area (LMA) in ferns. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 132(2), 346–358.
- de Mendiburu, F. (2021) agricolae: Statistical procedures for agricultural research. R package version 1.3-5. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=agricolae
- Díaz, S., Kattge, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Wright, I.J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S. et al. (2016) The global spectrum of plant form and function. *Nature*, 529, 167–171.
- Doyle, J.J. & Coate, J.E. (2019) Polyploidy, the nucleotype, and novelty: the impact of genome doubling on the biology of the cell. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 180, 1–52.
- Ehrendorfer, F. (1980) Polyploidy and distribution. In: Lewis, W.H. (Ed.) Polyploidy: biological relevance. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 45-60.
- Fowler, N.L. & Levin, D.A. (2016) Critical factors in the establishment of allopolyploids. American Journal of Botany, 103, 1236–1251.
- Fu, X. & Meinzer, F.C. (2019) Metrics and proxies for stringency of regulation of plant water status (iso/anisohydry): a global data set reveals

coordination and tradeoffs among water transport traits. *Tree Physiology*, 39, 122–134.

- Gaff, D.F. & Oliver, M. (2013) The evolution of desiccation tolerance in angiosperm plants: a rare yet common phenomenon. *Functional Plant Biology*, 40, 315–328.
- Harrell, F., Jr. (2022) Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. R package version 4.7-0. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Hmisc
- Hietz, P. & Briones, O. (1998) Correlation between water relations and within-canopy distribution of epiphytic ferns in a Mexican cloud forest. *Oecologia*, 114, 305–316.
- Holmlund, H.I., Lekson, V.M., Gillespie, B.M., Nakamatsu, N.A., Burns, A.M., Sauer, K.E. et al. (2016) Seasonal changes in tissue-water relations for eight species of ferns during historic drought in California. *American Journal of Botany*, 103(9), 1607–1617.
- John, G.P., Scoffoni, C., Buckley, T.N., Villar, R., Poorter, H. & Sack, L. (2017) The anatomical and compositional basis of leaf mass per area. *Ecology Letters*, 20, 412–425.
- Lenz, T.I., Wright, I.J. & Westoby, M. (2006) Interrelations among pressure-volume curve traits across species and water availability gradients. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 127, 423–433.
- Lo Gullo, M.A., Raimondo, F., Crisafulli, A., Salleo, S. & Nardini, A. (2010) Leaf hydraulic architecture and water relations of three ferns from contrasting light habitats. *Functional Plant Biology*, 37, 556–574.
- Marchant, B.D., Soltis, D.E. & Soltis, P.S. (2016) Patterns of abiotic niche shifts in allopolyploids relative to their progenitors. *New Phytologist*, 212, 708–718.
- McAdam, S.A.M. & Brodribb, T.J. (2013) Ancestral stomatal control results in a canalization of fern and lycophyte adaptation to drought. *New Phytologist*, 198, 429–441.
- Meinzer, F.C., Woodruff, D.R., Marias, D.E., Smith, D.D., McCulloh, K.A., Howard, A.R. et al. (2016) Mapping 'hydroscapes' along the iso to anisohydric continuum of stomatal regulation of plant water status. *Ecology Letters*, 19, 1343–1352.
- Mitchell, P.J., Veneklaas, E.J., Lambers, H. & Burgess, S.S.O. (2008) Leaf water relations during summer water deficit: differential responses in turgor maintenance and variation in leaf structure among different plant communities in south-western Australia. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 31, 1791–1802.
- Moore, J.P., Vicré-Gibouin, M., Farrant, J.M. & Driouich, A. (2008) Adaptations of higher plant cell walls to water loss: drought vs desiccation. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 134, 237–245.
- Nadal, M., Brodribb, T.J., Fernández-Marín, B., García-Plazaola, J.I., Arzac, M.I., López-Pozo, M. et al. (2021) Differences in biochemical, gas exchange and hydraulic response to water stress in desiccation tolerant and sensitive fronds of the fern Anemia caffrorum. New Phytologist, 231, 1415–1430.
- Nadal, M., Clemente-Moreno, M.J., Perera-Castro, A.V., Roig-Oliver, M., Onoda, Y., Gulías, J. et al. (2023) Incorporating pressure-volume traits into the leaf economics spectrum. *Ecology Letters*, 26(4), 549–562.
- Nadal, M., Flexas, J. & Gulías, J. (2018) Possible link between photosynthesis and leaf modulus of elasticity among vascular plants: a new player in leaf traits relationships? *Ecology Letters*, 21(9), 1372–1379.
- Nadal, M., Perera-Castro, A.V., Gulías, J., Farrant, J.M. & Flexas, J. (2021) Resurrection plants optimize photosynthesis despite very thick cell walls by means of chloroplast distribution. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 72(7), 2600–2610.
- Ohri, D. (2021) Polyploidy in gymnosperms—a reappraisal. *Silvae Genetica*, 70, 22–38.
- Oliver, M.J., Farrant, J.M., Hilhorst, H.W.M., Mundree, S., Williams, B. & Bewley, J.D. (2020) Desiccation tolerance: avoiding cellular damage during drying and rehydration. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 71, 435–460.
- Pangua, E., Pajarón, S. & Quintanilla, L.G. (2019) Fitness of an allopolyploid rupicolous fern compared with its diploid progenitors: from

sporogenesis to sporophyte formation. American Journal of Botany, 106, 984-995.

iologia Plantari

- Poorter, H., Niinemets, Ü., Poorter, L., Wright, I.J. & Villar, R. (2009) Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a metaanalysis. *New Phytologist*, 182, 565–588.
- Quintanilla, L.G., Aranda, I., Clemente-Moreno, M.J., Pons-Perpinyà, J. & Gago, J. (2023) Ecophysiological differentiation among two resurrection ferns and their allopolyploid derivative. *Plants*, 12, 1529.
- R Core Team. (2022) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/
- Reich, P.B. (2014) The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto. *Journal of Ecology*, 102, 275–301.
- Robichaux, R.H. (1984) Variation in the tissue water relations of two sympatric Hawaiian *Dubautia* species and their natural hybrid. *Oecologia*, 65, 75–81.
- Rodríguez, D.J. (1996) A model for the establishment of polyploidy in plants. *The American Naturalist*, 147, 33-46.
- Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S. & Eliceiri, K.W. (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, 9(7), 671–675.
- Sessa, E.B. & Givnish, T.J. (2014) Leaf form and photosynthetic physiology of *Dryopteris* species distributed along light gradients in eastern North America. *Functional Ecology*, 28, 108–123.
- Snodgrass, S.J., Jareczek, J. & Wendel, J.F. (2017) An examination of nucleotypic effects in diploid and polyploid cotton. *AoB Plants*, 9, plw082.
- Soltis, P.S., Liu, X., Marchant, D.B., Visger, C.J. & Soltis, D.E. (2014) Polyploidy and novelty: Gottlieb's legacy. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 369(1648), 20130351.
- Turner, N.C. (1986) Adaptation to water deficits: a changing perspective. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 13, 175–190.
- Tyree, M.T. & Jarvis, P.G. (1982) Water in tissues and cells. In: Lange, O.L., Nobel, P.S., Osmond, C.B. & Ziegler, H. (Eds.) *Physiological plant ecology II. Encyclopedia of plant physiology*, Vol. 12. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 35–77.
- van den Heede, C.J., Pajarón, S., Pangua, E. & Viane, R.L.L. (2002) A new species and a new hybrid of *Asplenium* (Aspleniaceae) from *Cyprus* and evidence of their origin. *Belgian Journal of Botany*, 135, 92–116.

- Vasheka, O., Puglielli, G., Crescente, M.F., Varone, L. & Gratani, L. (2016) Anatomical and morphological leaf traits of three evergreen ferns (Polystichum setiferum, Polypodium interjectum and Asplenium scolopendrium). American Fern Journal, 106, 258–268.
- Vile, D., Garnier, E., Shipley, B., Laurent, G., Navas, M.L., Roumet, C. et al. (2005) Specific leaf area and dry matter content estimate thickness in laminar leaves. *Annals of Botany*, 96, 1129–1136.
- Wang, D., Xu, X., Zhang, H., Xi, Z., Abbott, R.J., Fu, J. et al. (2022) Abiotic niche divergence of hybrid species from their progenitors. *The American Naturalist*, 200, 635–645.
- Warner, D.A. & Edwards, G.E. (1993) Effects of polyploidy on photosynthesis. Photosynthesis Research, 35, 135–147.
- Wilson, M.J., Fradera-Soler, M., Summers, R., Sturrock, C.J. & Fleming, A.J. (2021) Ploidy influences wheat mesophyll cell geometry, packing and leaf function. *Plant Direct*, 5(4), e00314.
- Wood, T.E., Takebayashi, N., Barker, M.S., Mayrose, I., Greenspoon, P.B. & Rieseberg, L.H. (2009) The frequency of polyploid speciation in vascular plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 13875–13879.
- Xiong, D. & Nadal, M. (2020) Linking water relations and hydraulics with photosynthesis. *The Plant Journal*, 101(4), 800–815.
- Zhu, S.D., Chen, Y.J., Ye, Q., He, P.C., Liu, H., Li, R.H. et al. (2018) Leaf turgor loss point is correlated with drought tolerance and leaf carbon economics traits. *Tree Physiology*, 38, 658–663.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Nadal, M., Quintanilla, L.G., Pons-Perpinyà, J., Lima, V.F., Gago, J. & Aranda, I. (2023) Leaf structure and water relations of an allotetraploid Mediterranean fern and its diploid parents. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 175(5), e14043. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1111/ppl.14043